

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/812,127	HEER ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
San-ming Hui	1617	

**All Participants:**

(1) San-ming Hui.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. ken Crimaldi, attorney of record.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 March 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

### Part II.

#### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Mr.Crimaldil informed the examiner that no reply was filed in response to the outstanding office action mailed August 11, 2005*

### Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 3/6/06  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)